

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/742,321             | YANG ET AL.         |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Necholus Ogden         | 1751                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Howard Einsenberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 February 2005

**Time:** 11:00a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*all*

Claims discussed:  
*all*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Bhagwat et al or Schacknai et al*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner offered allowable subject matter, applicant's rep. declined and an office action on the merits was requested.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)